

Agricultural Preservation Advisory Board Department of Planning, Housing, and Zoning

County Commissioners' Hearing Room 400 High Street Chestertown, Maryland

AGENDA

July 17, 2023 6:00 p.m.

Members of the public are welcome to attend meetings in person or via conference call.

Public participation and audio-only call-in number:

- 1. Dial **1-872-239-8359**
- 2. Enter Conference ID: 572 355 626#

Members of the public are asked to mute their phones/devices, until the Commission Chair opens the floor for comment.

Minutes - February 21, 2023

FY24 Easement Application Rankings – Recommendation to County Commissioners

General Discussion

Adjourn

Meetings are conducted in Open Session unless otherwise indicated. Meetings are subject to audio and video recordings. Other business without assigned times may be discussed during the course of this meeting as time allows.



Agricultural Preservation Advisory Board Department of Planning, Housing, and Zoning

MINUTES - DRAFT

The Kent County Agricultural Preservation Advisory Board met on Tuesday, February 21, 2023, in the County Commissioners' Hearing Room. The following members were in attendance: Chair David Hill, Bradley Morris, Tyler Gale, and Ernest "Tot" Strong. Staff in attendance were William Mackey, AICP, Director; Carla Gerber, AICP, Deputy Director; and Campbell Safian, Planning Specialist.

The meeting was called to order at 5:31 p.m.

MINUTES:

The minutes of the meeting on June 23, 2022, were approved as written and distributed.

AG PRESERVATION DISTRICT APPLICATIONS:

Ms. Gerber presented the following applications. After discussion, the Board voted on each application and recommended approval of all the applications for Agricultural Preservation Districts. Chair Hill recused himself from the vote on his farm.

22-01 Jack Coleman -- Lambs Meadow Road, Lynch

A motion for approval was made by Mr. Strong. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gale, and the motion passed 4-0.

22-02 Fry Cooper Farm LLC -- 11471 Augustine Herman Hwy, Kennedyville

22-03 Fry Cooper Farm LLC -- 28151 Comegys Road, Kennedyville

A motion for approval was made by Mr. Morris. The motion was seconded by Mr. Strong, and the motion passed 4-0.

22-04 David Hill -- 12192 Kennedyville Road, Kennedyville

A motion for approval was made by Mr. Strong. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gale, and the motion passed 4-0.

22-05 Chris Jackman -- 12986 Augustine Herman Hwy, Kennedyville

A motion for approval was made by Mr. Strong. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gale, and the motion passed 4-0.

22-06 Lester C. Jones and Sons, Inc -- 13121 Massey Road, Massey

22-07 Lester C. Jones and Sons, Inc -- Speer Road, Millington

A motion for approval was made by Mr. Strong. The motion was seconded by Mr. Morris, and the motion passed 4-0.

22-08 Owings and Sons Business Trust -- 29960 Morgnec Road, Kennedyville, Lots 1 and 2

A motion for approval was made by Mr. Strong. The motion was seconded by Mr. Morris, and the motion passed 4-0.

22-09 Rich Levels at Mill Creek LLC -- 32269 Galena Sassafras Road, Galena

A motion for approval was made by Mr. Strong. The motion was seconded by Mr. Morris, and the motion passed 4-0.

22-10 Thomas Wiltbank -- 10187 Augustine Herman Hwy, Chestertown

A motion for approval was made by Mr. Strong. The motion was seconded by Mr. Morris, and the motion passed 4-0.

RECERTIFICATION REPORT:

Ms. Gerber stated one advantage of certification of County Agricultural Land Preservation programs is that the County retains 75% percent of the agricultural transfer tax that is collected. It was noted that the County must finance 9% of the total amount that is collected from other funding sources to agricultural preservation.

Mr. Strong made a motion to send a favorable recommendation to the County Commissioners for the recertification process. Mr. Morris seconded the motion, and the motion passed with all in favor.

GENERAL DISCUSSION:

ADJOURN

Ms. Gerber noted that the Agricultural Preservation Advisory Board is required to meet annually with the forest conservation district boards. The boards are encouraged to work cooperatively to promote the retention of farmland and woodland in their respective jurisdictions.



Agricultural Preservation Advisory Board Department of Planning, Housing, and Zoning

To: Agricultural Preservation Advisory Board

From: Carla Gerber

Subject: FY 2024 Easement Applications - Rankings and Recommendation

Date: July 17, 2023

Memorandum

FY2024 Easement Applications and Rankings

We received 24 applications to sell an easement, and all applications were submitted to MALPF for appraisal. We received two Round 1 full offers and two insufficient fund offers. Only the full offers were accepted by the owners. I anticipate the possibility of receiving multiple Round 2 offers, which will likely reduce the number of applications that are ultimately appraised. All applications have been scored and ranked using our Easement Prioritization Formula.

I have included a blank copy of the Easement Prioritization Formula and a spreadsheet with the calculated rankings for your review. For your information, the Land Evaluation score is weighted so that it counts for 20% of the total points. There is also a map showing the 24 farms.

Please note that state law requires that these rankings remain <u>confidential</u> until the easement acquisition cycle is officially closed, probably about 12-18 months from now. I will send out a letter to let landowners know if their farm will be submitted to MALPF, but other than that you are not allowed to disclose any other information.

FY 2024 Easement Prioritization Formula Scores/Rankings

Code	Rank	LE	20%	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	40%	P1	P2	Р3	P4	P5	P6	30%	TOTAL
		100	20.00	25	25	15	20	10	5	40.00	25	25	20	15	5	10	40.00	100.00
А	1	68.46611	13.69	18	25	15	20	0	1	31.6	25	20	0	0	5	10	24.0	69.29
В	2	62.90989	12.58	22	17	15	20	5	1	32.0	24.1	15	0	5	5	10	23.6	68.22
С	3	72.62251	14.52	13	25	15	20	5	0	31.2	25	25	0	2	0	0	20.8	66.52
D	4	64.36677	12.87	14	23	15	20	0	0	28.8	25	20	0	5	5	4	23.6	65.27
Е	5	62.07756	12.42	15	21	15	20	5	1	30.8	25	10	0	5	5	10	22.0	65.22
F	6	61.91788	12.38	22	19	15	20	0	3	31.6	25	15	0	10	0	0	20.0	63.98
G	7	66.11077	13.22	24	15	15	10	0	1	26.0	25	5	0	10	5	10	22.0	61.22
Н	8	81.24758	16.25	6	25	15	20	0	0	26.4	25	20	0	0	0	0	18.0	60.65
1	9	50.13178	10.03	24	7	15	5	0	0	20.4	25	25	0	10	5	8	29.2	59.63
J	10	48.85182	9.77	13	11	15	20	5	1	26.0	23.9	15	0	5	5	10	23.6	59.33
K	11	50.07327	10.01	8	17	15	20	0	3	25.2	25	25	0	7	0	2	23.6	58.81
L	12	51.00398	10.20	11	5	15	20	0	1	20.8	3.5	25	13.3	10	5	10	26.7	57.72
М	13	60.59963	12.12	19	15	15	20	0	0	27.6	25	15	0	5	0	0	18.0	57.72
N	14	61.42014	12.28	20	17	15	20	5	0	30.8	25	5	0	5	0	0	14.0	57.08
0	15	52.10956	10.42	22	15	15	20	0	3	30.0	1.8	20	7.1	10	0	2	16.4	56.78
Р	16	51.75565	10.35	5	9	15	15	0	1	18.0	23.8	25	0	5	5	10	27.5	55.87
Q	17	37.13015	7.43	10	3	15	20	0	1	19.6	25	25	0	7	5	10	28.8	55.83
R	18	53.00887	10.60	13	13	15	20	0	0	24.4	25	15	0	10	0	0	20.0	55.00
S	19	60.63229	12.13	7	15	15	15	0	3	22.0	0.6	25	2.2	10	5	8	20.3	54.46
Т	20	66.83504	13.37	16	19	15	20	0	0	28.0	1.1	20	4.6	5	0	0	12.3	53.65
U	21	46.22819	9.25	7	9	15	20	0	1	20.8	25	25	0	5	0	0	22.0	52.05
V	22	64.09167	12.82	6	13	15	5	0	0	15.6	4.1	15	18.1	5	5	10	22.9	51.30
W	23	79.98019	16.00	10	25	15	10	0	0	24.0	5.8	10	0	0	5	0	8.3	48.32
Х	24	38.73493	7.75	25	7	15	5	0	0	20.8	1.9	5	0	5	5	4	8.4	36.91

MALPF EASEMENT PRIORITIZATION FORMULA

OWNERNAME(S)	NUMBER OF ACRES	Kent ALP FILE#
LAND EVALUATIONSCORE	SITE ASSESSMENT SCORE	TOTAL POINTS THIS CYCLE
RANK		DATE

Land Evaluation Site Assessment (LESA) Ranking Guidelines for the Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Foundation

SITE ASSESSMENT (SA)						
Farm Quality & Potential 100 points (40%)			Priority Preservation Area Status 100 points (40%)			
F1	Farm Size (compared to median size farm) 25 points, 10%	P1	Protection of Surrounding Area 25 points, 10%			
F2	On-Site Production 25 points, 10%	P2	Priority Preservation Area (PPA) and Distance from a Priority Funding Area (PFA) 25 points, 10%			
F3	Stewardship/Conservation of Land 15 points, 6%	Р3	New block of Protected Lands 20 points, 8%			
F4	Farm Ownership and Operation 20 points, 8%		Historic, Scenic, or Habitat Value of Site 15 points, 6%			
F5	Value-added Production 10 points, 4%	P5	Re-application 5 points, 2%			
F6	Length of Ownership 5 points, 2 %	P6	Date of Application 10 points, 4%			
	F2 F3 F4 F5	Farm Quality & Potential 100 points (40%) Farm Size (compared to median size farm) 25 points, 10% F2 On-Site Production 25 points, 10% F3 Stewardship/Conservation of Land 15 points, 6% F4 Farm Ownership and Operation 20 points, 8% F5 Value-added Production 10 points, 4% Length of Ownership	Farm Quality & Potential 100 points (40%) Farm Size (compared to median size farm) 25 points, 10% F2 On-Site Production 25 points, 10% F3 Stewardship/Conservation of Land 15 points, 6% F4 Farm Ownership and Operation 20 points, 8% F5 Value-added Production 10 points, 4% E6 Length of Ownership P6			

40%

40%

20%

AGRICULTURAL SITE ASSESSMENT

No.	Farm Quality and Potential of Property									Points: (100 pts. max.)	
F1	Farm Size (compared to the median size farm) How large is the proposed easement site compared to the average sized farming unit in the County? (Median size is based on the latest available Census of Agriculture). Median farm size in Kent County is 123 acres. (Source: 2017 Census of Agriculture).										Points:(25 pts. max.)
	> 270%	25	220.01-230	20	170.01-180	15	120.01-130	10	70.01-80	5	
	260.01-270	24	210.01-220	19	160.01-170	14	110.01-120	9	60.01-70	4	
	250.01-260	23	200.01-210	18	150.01-160	13	100.01-110	8	50.01-60	3	
	240.01-250	22	190.01-200	17	140.01-150	12	90.01-100	7	40.01-50	2	
	230.01-240	21	180.01-190	16	130.01-140	11	80.01-90	6	< 40%	1	
F2	managed as wincludes crop include lawns	age of i	the site is being and with a forest pastureland, live areas, or wetla > 95% 90.01-95 85.01-90 80.01-85	manag vestock nds. 25 23 21	75.01-80 70.01-75 65.01-70 60.01-65	17	55.01-60 50.01-55 45.01-50 <45%	luct p	mercial harvest) roduction? This c.) This does not	land	Points: (25 pts. max.) Points:
F3	Stewardship/Conservation of Land, Water, and Natural Resources To promote the protection of the Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries, MALPF requires that all easement properties have an implemented soil and water conservation plan. Does the landowner have an up to date Soil and Water Conservation Plan? Or, has the NRCS or local SWCD determined that the farm does not need any conservation plan? Does the landowner have an up to date Nutrient Management Plan? SWCP and Nutrient Management Plan are up to date. SWCP is up to date. Nutrient Management Plan is up to date. 7.5 points									(15 pts. max.)	

F4	Farm Ownership and Operation		Points:
	Is the landowner a resident, full-time farmer, retired with the farm operated by family redoes the landowner live on the farm and lease to a full-time farmer or does a part-time farmer operate the farm?	(20 pts. max.)	
	Applicant lives in the County and the applicant or a family member is actively		
	farming the subject property	20 points	
	 Applicant lives in the County and the farm is leased to a non-family member Applicant does not live in the County and the applicant or a family member is 	15 points	
	actively farming the subject property	10 points	
	•Applicant does not live in the County and the farm is leased to a non-family member	5 points	
F5	Value-added Production		
	• Farming operation includes animal production such as a dairy, poultry,		Points:
	beef cattle, or hogs with direct sales to consumers	5 points	(10 pts. max.)
	• Farming operation does direct sales to consumers from the farm or		
	from local markets of non-animal products	5 points	
	Farm offers agritourism opportunities	5 points	
F6	Length of Ownership		D
	How long has the landowner, or his family, owned the farm?		Points:
	Applicant, or his family, has owned the farm for at least 25 years	1 points	(5 pts. max.)
	Applicant, or his family, has owned the farm for at least 40 years	3 points	
	The farm has been designated as a Century Farm	5 points	

No.	Priority Preservation Area Status		Points:(100 pts. max.)							
P1	Priority Preservation Area (PPA) and Protection of Surrounding Area State and county policies have always emphasized the need to preserve large blocks of farmland for the continuar agricultural operations. How well is the subject property protected by surrounding lands that are permanently protected by easements or protective zoning, or temporarily protected as agricultural districts?	nce of	Points:(25 pts. max.)							
	The points credited for proximity to permanent easements will carry three times the weight of points credited for Districts. The size of the applicant farm is given credit by including it in theeasement acreage. No points will be awarded if the property is not within the County's PPA.									
	• <u>Easements</u> - The combined total acreage of the applicant property and all properties subject to an easement to the Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Foundation, the Maryland Environmental Trust, or other easement(s) with similar restrictions, which are located within an adjacent block will be calculated. State or Federal Resource Lands will be considered as equivalent to easements. The applicant will receive one (1) point for each 200 acres, or portion thereof, of the total acres calculated in this subsection.									
	• <u>Districts</u> - The total acreage of all non-easement properties subject to a District Agreement with the County which are located within an adjacent block of the applicant's property will be calculated. The applicant will receive one (1) point for each 300 acres, or portion thereof, of the total acres calculated in this subsection.									
P2	Distance from a Priority Funding Area (PFA) Is the property near a PFA such that it serves as a buffer between a PFA and a conservation zone; or is it sufficiently distant PFA or designated growth area to form part of a larger contiguous block offarmland?	t from a	Points:(25 pts. max.)							
	Identify the correct distance and assign the indicated points. The application can receive points for only one distance.	stance								
	 The applicant property is more than ½ mile, but less than 1 mile from a community with sewer and/or water The applicant property is more than 1 mile, but less than 2 miles from a community with sewer and/or water 	5 points 10 points 15 points 20 points 25 points								

P3	Starting New Block of Protected Lands In order to encourage landowners in areas of the County that are less protected, points will be given for smaller/newer blocks of protected lands. Farms can only qualify for these points if they received 5 or fewer points in P1 above. No points will be awarded if the applicant property is not within the County's PPA. • Easements - The combined total acreage of the applicant property and all properties subject to an easement to the Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Foundation, the Maryland Environmental Trust, or other easement(s) with similar restrictions, which are located within an adjacent block will be calculated. State or Federal resources lands will be considered as equivalent to easements. The applicant will receive one (1) point for each 50 acres, or portion thereof, of the total acres calculated in this subsection. • Districts - The total acreage of all non-easement properties subject to a District Agreement with the County which are located within an adjacent block of the applicant's property will be calculated. The applicant will receive one (1) point for each 100 acres, or portion thereof, of the total acres calculated in this subsection.	Points: (20 pts. max.)
P4	Historic, Scenic, or Habitat Value of Site Does the subject property have non-agricultural site-specific attributes highly valued by the County? A property shall receive the points indicated for each of the qualifying designations below. If a property contains several of the designations, the points for each shall be added together for a total score which shall not be greater than 15 points. Do not count historic designations more than once. To verify if a site contains these attributes, an evaluator may need to consult with the appropriate State or County representative or agency, such as the designated local Historic Preservation Planner, the Maryland Historical Trust, or the Department of Natural Resources. • Contains structure/s listed in the National Register of Historic Places or the County Historic Sites Listing • Contains Rare, Threatened or Endangered Species Habitat or is in an Area of Critical State Concern • Is part of a contiguous forested area (25 acres or greater) • Is part of a contiguous forested area (25 acres or greater) • Located along a National or State Scenic Byway • Borders tidal waters • TOTAL POINTS (add the point totals above together – not to exceed 15 points) = points	Points: (15 pts. max.)
P5	Re-application Has an application for this specific property been submitted to the County previously that has not resulted in an easement offer.	Points:(5 pts. max.)

P6	Date of Application from District Establishment		Points:
	Current calendar year minus 5	10 points	(10 pts. max.)
	Current calendar year minus 4	8 points	
	Current calendar year minus 3	6 points	
	Current calendar year minus 2	4 points	
	Current calendar year minus 1	2 points	
	Current calendar year	0 points	
		•	